

# Heavy Metal Pollution in the Environment and Its Impact on Health: Exploring Green Technology for Remediation

Sumanta Das<sup>1</sup>, Kaniz Wahida Sultana<sup>1</sup>, Ashwell R Ndhlala<sup>2</sup>, Moupriya Mondal<sup>1</sup> and Indrani Chandra<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biotechnology, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan, West Bengal, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Production, Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, Green Biotechnologies Research Centre of Excellence, University of Limpopo, Sovenga, South Africa.

Environmental Health Insights

Volume 17: 1–10

© The Author(s) 2023

Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/11786302231201259



**ABSTRACT:** Along with expanding urbanization and industrialization, environmental pollution which negatively affects the surroundings, has been rising quickly. As a result, induces heavy metal contamination which poses a serious threat to living organisms of aquatic and soil ecosystems. Therefore, they are a need to ameliorate the effects cost by cost pollution on the environment. In this review, we explore methods employed to mitigate the effects caused by heavy metals on the environment. Many techniques employed to manage environmental pollution are tedious and very costly, necessitating the use of alternative management strategies to resolve this challenge. In this concept, bioremediation is viewed as a future technique, due to its environmental friendliness and cost-effective measures aligned with sustainable or climate-smart agriculture to manage contaminants in the environment. The technique involves the use of living entities such as bacteria, fungi, and plants to deteriorate toxic substances from the rhizosphere. Currently, bioremediation is thought to be the most practical, dependable, environmentally benign, and long-lasting solution. Although bioremediation involves different techniques, they are still a need to find the most efficient method for removing toxic substances from the environment. This review focuses on the origins of heavy metal pollution, delves into cost-effective and green technological approaches for eliminating heavy metal pollutants from the environment, and discusses the impact of these pollutants on human health.

**KEYWORDS:** Anthropogenic activities, bioremediation, green technology, heavy metal, environmental pollution, living entities, phytoremediation

**RECEIVED:** June 16, 2023. **ACCEPTED:** August 29, 2023.

**TYPE:** Review

**FUNDING:** The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS:** The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**CORRESPONDING AUTHORS:** Sumanta Das, Department of Biotechnology, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan, West Bengal 713104, India. Email: sumantad1991@gmail.com

Ashwell R Ndhlala, Department of Plant Production, Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, Green Biotechnologies Research Centre of Excellence, University of Limpopo, Private Bag X1106, Sovenga 0727, South Africa. Email: ashwell.ndhlala@ul.ac.za

Indrani Chandra, Department of Biotechnology, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan, West Bengal 713104, India. Email: ichandrabitech@gmail.com

## Introduction


Globally, the Industrial Revolution played a significant impact on the development of the economies of many different countries because it changed an economy that was predominately based on agriculture and handicrafts into one that was dominated by industry and machine manufacturing.<sup>1,2</sup>

In India, the Industrial Revolution had a pivotal role in the economic rise of developing countries. In the case of India, the Industrial Revolution commenced post-1850 and notably bolstered the rural economy.<sup>3</sup> However, this period of scientific and technological development had concomitantly brought pros and cons in the long run. As a result, it led to unprecedented outcomes due to human activities which were first ignored until the publication of *Silent Spring* by Rachel Carson on September 27, 1962.<sup>4</sup> The *Silent Spring* unveiled the mystery behind the use of synthetic chemical inputs and its negative impact on the environment.<sup>5</sup> Their effects were environmental pollution that was formally categorized as anthropogenic activities,<sup>6–9</sup> resulting from the dumping of industrial, home trash, and synthetic agricultural inputs. Therefore, to sustain the environment, alternative methods need to be employed to mitigate the effects induced by synthetic chemicals on the environment.

The anthropogenic activities, primarily emanating from the agricultural, industrial, and urbanization side, are currently releasing contamination to the rhizosphere or atmosphere which includes accumulation of heavy metals and other toxic fumigant chemicals that pose an environmental threat.<sup>10</sup> Heavy metal contamination is considered as one of the most critical environmental issues that reduce crop productivity and directly or indirectly jeopardizes the survival of almost all types of living entities on the planet.<sup>11</sup> The toxic metals absorbed by plants result in chemical residues on marketable produce causing mutagenic reactions which result in cancer in human beings.<sup>12</sup> Because wildlife depends on plants, they are also affected by heavy metal pollution, which disturbs the balance of mother nature and reduces biodiversity.<sup>13</sup> On the other side, pesticides used in plant protection also kill or affect the reproductive potential of untargeted organisms like beneficial nematodes, insects, birds and earthworms.<sup>14</sup> The prevention of heavy metal infiltration into terrestrial, atmospheric, and aquatic habitats as well as the remediation of damaged land are therefore imperative.

Heavy metals are a distinct group of metals that possess comparatively high densities, atomic numbers, and atomic weights within the periodic table.<sup>15,16</sup> Typically, heavy metals





**Figure 1.** A schematic diagram illustrating the origins of heavy metal pollution.

are non-biodegradable and persist in the environment for several decades.<sup>17</sup> Heavy metals such as mercury (Hg), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), and arsenic (As) are considered to pose a significant threat to untargeted living entities due to their toxicity character, even at low concentrations.<sup>18</sup> As a result, bioremediation is viewed as a future technique to ameliorate the effects caused by pollution on the environment due to anthropogenic activities. This technique is suitable for remediating contaminants and it is eco-friendly.<sup>19</sup> Bioremediation involves the use of living entities such as bacteria: *Acinetobacter* sp.,<sup>20</sup> *Alcaligenes odorans*,<sup>21</sup> *Bacillus subtilis*,<sup>22</sup> *Corynebacterium propinquum*,<sup>23</sup> *Microbacterium* sp.,<sup>24</sup> *Pseudomonas* sp., *P. putida*, *P. aeruginosa*,<sup>25</sup> and *Ralstonia* sp.<sup>26</sup> to deteriorate toxic substances from the rhizosphere as well as the atmosphere.<sup>27</sup> It also employs the use of plants, technically known as green biotechnology where *Brassica juncea*,<sup>28</sup> *Helianthus annuus*,<sup>29</sup> *Pteris vittata*,<sup>30</sup> *Salix viminalis*,<sup>31</sup> and *Solanum lycopersicum*<sup>32</sup> plants were employed and shown the ability to extract or reduce heavy metals from the soil. This review summarizes a variety of bioremediation techniques, with a focus on their efficacy in thoroughly eradicating heavy metal pollution from the environment. It does so by doing a thorough analysis of the current literature.

### The Principal Sources of Pollution


Heavy metals are released into the environment from various sources including mining, urbanization, chemical industry, sewage plants, pesticide plants, biomedical and unsafe agricultural practices (Figure 1) The United Nations Environment Program (UNEP/GPA) and the Global Plan of Action (GPA) recognize electronic waste (e-waste) which includes devices like mobile phones, tablets, computers, and smartwatches as a major threat to the environment and human well-being. This is primarily due to the presence of heavy metals like Hg, Cd, and Pb in electronic devices, which can pose serious risks to both the environment and human health if not properly disposed of UNEP/GPA<sup>33</sup> and Tchounwou et al.<sup>34</sup> The pollution levels of these heavy metals are influenced by industrial activities, geographic locations, regulatory oversight, and diverse sources.<sup>35</sup> For instance, Hg primarily emanates from coal combustion, electric/light bulb, wood preservatives, leather tanning, ointments,

thermometers, adhesives and paints.<sup>36</sup> Cd often originates from industries like battery manufacturing, paint pigments, pesticides, galvanized pipes, plastics, polyvinyl and copper refineries.<sup>37</sup> Pb, an extremely toxic metal, commonly originates from substances like Pb-based paints, gasoline and mobile batteries.<sup>38</sup> Cr is emitted from a variety of industrial activities, including petroleum refining, electroplating, leather tanning, textile manufacturing, and pulp processing.<sup>39</sup> As, a naturally occurring element in the Earth's crust, is released into the environment through a variety of human activities, including mining, agricultural practices, automobile exhaust and industrial dust, wood preservatives, and dyes.<sup>40</sup>

Soil plays a vital role in supporting terrestrial ecosystems and their biodiversity. Heavy metals are prevalent pollutants within the soil environment, and their presence can adversely affect microorganisms, plants and animals. The European Environment Agency (EEA) has set limit values for soil pollutant levels of various heavy metals, including Hg (0.20 ppm), Cd (0.44 ppm), Pb (0.48 ppm), Cr (0.20 ppm), and As (0.11 ppm).<sup>41,42</sup> According to World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines, the acceptable levels of heavy metal pollutants in drinking water are as stated: Hg—0.001 ppm, Cd—0.005 ppm, Pb—0.05 ppm, Cr—0.05 ppm, and As—0.05 ppm.<sup>43</sup> The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations (UN) and the WHO set maximum limits for the consumption of heavy metals, as higher levels can cause health problems. The permissible limits for heavy metals consumption through vegetables are as follows: Hg—0.05 mg/kg for all vegetables; Cd—0.2 mg/kg for leafy vegetables, 0.3 mg/kg for root vegetables, and 0.1 mg/kg for other vegetables; Pb—0.15 mg/kg for all vegetables; Cr—0.1 mg/kg for all vegetables, and As—0.1 mg/kg for all vegetables.<sup>44-46</sup>

### Managing Pollution

Several techniques are employed to decontaminate the environment from these pollutants and avert the entry of toxic metals into the environment. Nevertheless, these methods tend to be costly and exhibit suboptimal efficacy.<sup>47,48</sup> The increasing concerns surrounding environmental contamination have initiated the development of suitable technologies to assess the presence and mobility of metals in soil, water, and wastewater (Figure 2). Private and government institutions face a technical challenge to removing contaminants from the environment. Phytoremediation has emerged as a popular and economical plant-based technology for effectively addressing environmental issues. The process entails utilizing plants to extract and remove elemental pollutants or lower their bioavailability in soil or water.<sup>49</sup> In modern science, this technology is widely accepted due to its eco-friendliness, affordability, and high effectiveness.<sup>50</sup> Phytoremediation takes advantage of the unique and selective uptake capabilities of plant root systems, coupled with the translocation, bioaccumulation, and contaminant degradation abilities of the entire plant



**Figure 2.** An illustrative diagram elucidating bioremediation, highlighting the crucial roles of plants, bacteria, and fungi (Created with BioRender.com).

body.<sup>51</sup> Both aquatic and terrestrial plant species have been harnessed to eliminate pollutants from the environment.<sup>52</sup>

More than 400 species have been identified as metal accumulators of Hg, Cd, Pb, Cr, As, and various radionuclides from contaminated soils (Tables 1–4). *Arabidopsis* sp. is well known for its metal tolerance and hyperaccumulation of Zn.<sup>53</sup> Aquatic plant species such as *Azolla pinnata*, *Ceratophyllum demersum*, *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Lemna minor*, *Myriophyllum spicatum*, *Nasturtium officinale*, *Pistia stratiotes*, *Potamogeton pectinatus*, *Phragmites*, *Salvinia herzogii*, *Salvinia minima*, *Spirodela intermedia*, *Scirpus* spp., and *Typha latifolia*, are of particular importance due to their high contaminant removal capacity.<sup>54–58</sup>

*Mechanism of phytoremediation*

Phytoremediation encompasses several processes, including phytoextraction, phytoaccumulation, phytovolatilization, phytostabilization, and phytotransformation (Figure 3).<sup>49</sup> Phytoextraction is a technique that involves the absorption of organic and inorganic pollutants through the roots and stems. Besides, some particular plant species, like *Brassica juncea*, *Cassia alata*, *Celosia argentea*, *Kummerowia striata*, *Helianthus annuus*, *Momordica charantia*, *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Salix mucronata*, *Salix viminalis*, *Solanum lycopersicum*, *Solanum melongena*, *Swietenia macrophylla*, *Pteris vittata*, and *Vigna unguiculata*, have the potential to be used as suitable plant selections to enhance the phytoextraction process.<sup>83–86</sup> In phytostabilization, in this process, plants accumulate and immobilize heavy metals by binding with biomolecules.<sup>87</sup> *Miscanthus giganteus*, *Avena sativa*, and *Sinapis alba* can also help to stabilize heavy metal compounds in the soil.<sup>88</sup> There are several processes by which plants can reduce contaminants.

*Phytoextraction.* Phytoextraction, also called phytoaccumulation, involves the accumulation of heavy metals from earthland. In this method, the uptake and translocation of contaminants

**Table 1.** Heavy metal accumulation in plants.

PLANT NAME	CONTAMINANT	REFERENCES
<i>Arabidopsis halleri</i>	Cd	Grignet et al <sup>59</sup>
<i>Brassica juncea</i>	Pb	Rathika et al <sup>60</sup>
<i>Mentha aquatic</i>	Cd, Pb	Zhang et al <sup>61</sup>
<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>	Cd	Yang et al <sup>62</sup>
<i>Pteris vittata</i>	As	Zhu et al <sup>63</sup>
<i>Salix</i> spp.	Cd	Yang et al <sup>64</sup>
<i>Sesbania drummondii</i>	Pb	Valenti et al <sup>65</sup>
<i>Solanum nigrum</i>	Cd, Pb	He et al <sup>66</sup> and Li et al <sup>67</sup>
<i>Tagetes patula</i>	Cd, Pb	Zhang et al <sup>61</sup>
<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	Pb	Narayanan et al <sup>68</sup>
<i>Zeamays</i>	Pb	Huang et al <sup>69</sup>

**Table 2.** Heavy metal accumulation in aquatic plants.wvw


PLANT NAME	CONTAMINANT	REFERENCES
<i>Azolla caroliniana</i>	As	Sebastian et al <sup>70</sup>
<i>Callitriche brutia</i>	Hg	Kaur et al <sup>71</sup>
<i>Callitriche lusitanica</i>	As	Shukla et al <sup>72</sup>
<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>	Cd, Cr	Rai <sup>73</sup>
<i>Ranunculus trichophyllus</i>	As	Stefanidis et al <sup>74</sup>
<i>Typhaangustifolia</i>	Pb	Nabuyanda et al <sup>75</sup>

**Table 3.** Heavy metal accumulation in genetically modified plants.

PLANT NAME	CONTAMINANT	REFERENCES
<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	Pb	Naqqash et al <sup>76</sup>
<i>Brassica juncea</i>	Hg	Raj et al <sup>77</sup>
<i>Polypogonmonspeliensis</i>	As	Samreen et al <sup>78</sup>

**Table 4.** Heavy metal accumulation in ornamental plants.

PLANT NAME	CONTAMINANT	REFERENCES
<i>Calendula officinalis</i>	Cd	Mahmood-UI-Hassan et al <sup>79</sup>
<i>Chlorophytum comosum</i>	Cd	Sangsuwan and Prapagdee <sup>80</sup>
<i>Melastoma malabathricum</i>	Pb	Selamat et al <sup>81</sup>
<i>Mirabilis jalapa</i>	Cd	Wei et al <sup>82</sup>



**Figure 3.** A schematic diagram depicting the underlying mechanisms of phytoremediation processes (Created with BioRender.com).

by plants root into the aerial portions of plants and deposited into vacuoles. The mechanism during the accumulation process is used to absorb and precipitate the toxic metals by metal-phytochelatin complex before translocating into the shoot, leaf and stem parts of the plant. The hyperaccumulator species accumulate a higher concentration of heavy metals.<sup>89,90</sup>

**Rhizofiltration.** Rhizofiltration involves the elimination of heavy metals using plant roots. Though it is comparable to phytoextraction, in this process, plants remove contaminants from wastewater or groundwater rather than soil. In this process, plant roots assimilate or adsorb pollutants from wastewater, groundwater, or surface water. Generally, aquatic plant species are employed to eliminate pollutants through rhizofiltration. Rhizofiltration is effective for removing Cd, Pb, and Cr, which are primarily accumulated in the roots. Sunflower, tobacco, and spinach exhibit promising potential in removing Pb from water.<sup>91</sup>

**Phytostabilization.** Plant roots can limit the movement of heavy metals by phytostabilization, a process that reduces toxic effects. This process involves the capture of contaminants on the root surface using transport proteins or secondary metabolites. Furthermore, the process involves the breakdown of complex organic molecules into simpler ones by coupling them with protein, amino acid, and sugar derivatives. Black nightshade, sunflower, and cowpea are among the plant species that employ phytostabilization mechanisms.<sup>92</sup>

**Phytovolatilization.** This process entails the uptake of contaminants by plants from the soil and their conversion into less toxic volatile compounds that are released into the atmosphere. The volatile compounds are primarily released from aerial

plant parts such as stems and leaves. This mechanism is effective when the contaminants are less toxic.<sup>93</sup>

**Phytodegradation.** Phytotransformation, also known as this process, refers to the absorption of contaminants by plants, which are then metabolized or broken down into less toxic compounds and translocated to various plant organs. The organic compounds are then degraded into non-toxic forms inside the plant tissue.<sup>94</sup>

#### *Microbial-assisted remediation of heavy metal*

Microbial remediation is the process of using living microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and archaea to break down and detoxify various chemical and metallic hazardous wastes from the environment.<sup>95</sup> Bioremediation involves the direct application of microorganisms to the polluted site in order to facilitate the degradation of contaminants. Microorganisms are used in a variety of remediation techniques, including bioaugmentation and biostimulation. In bioaugmentation, specific microorganisms are added to a contaminated site to enhance the breakdown of contaminants. In biostimulation, the environmental conditions at the site are modified to promote the growth and activity of naturally occurring microorganisms that can degrade contaminants. Physical and chemical treatments are conventional remediation methods that have drawbacks such as high cost, heavy machinery, logistical glitches, and potential environmental toxicity.<sup>96</sup> In contrast, bioremediation technologies have seen significant growth and development, making it a promising method for treating soil and water contamination (Table 5). Among these methods, bioremediation of oil spills is the most lucrative and environment-friendly technique.<sup>97</sup>

Fungi are used for the remediation of pollutants in mycoremediation, a type of bioremediation. Fungi play a vital role in cleaning up contaminated sites in both soil and aquatic ecosystems.<sup>111</sup> These microorganisms, which are widely present in nature, can thrive in a diverse range of environmental conditions. These microorganisms survive in extreme conditions and produce some extracellular ligninolytic enzymes like peroxidase and laccases. These enzymes help fungi to transform pollutants into non-toxic forms. Pollutants can be adsorbed by extracellular enzymes.<sup>112</sup> Diverse fungal species such as *Aspergillus* sp., *Bjerkandera adusta*, *Coriolus versicolor*, *Cryptococcus* sp., *Hirschioporus laricinus*, *Inonotus hispidus*, *Mucor* sp., *Penicillium* sp., *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, *Phlebia tremellosa*, *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, *Pleurotus* sp., and *Trametes versicolor*, have been reported for bioremediation.<sup>113,114</sup>


#### *Role of genetical engineering microbes in bioremediation*

The potential of microbes for bioremediation is vast but unexploited. Genetically engineered organisms are the best way to enhance bioremediation activity.<sup>115,116</sup> Further research is

**Table 5.** Bioremediation of heavy metal by microorganisms.

MICROORGANISM	CONTAMINANT	REFERENCES
<i>Acinetobacter junii</i>	As	Marwa et al <sup>98</sup>
<i>Aeromonas</i> sp.	Cr	Geng et al <sup>99</sup>
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i> SPF-1	Cd, Cr	Shukla et al <sup>100</sup>
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	Cd	Bhattacharya et al <sup>101</sup>
<i>Bacillus flexus</i>	As	Marwa et al <sup>98</sup>
<i>Bacillus safensis</i>	Cd	Li et al <sup>102</sup>
<i>Cladosporium</i> sp.	Cd	Văcar et al <sup>103</sup>
<i>Cunninghamella elegans</i>	Hg, Cd, Pb	Malik et al <sup>104</sup>
<i>Microsporium</i> sp.	Cd	Saini et al <sup>105</sup>
<i>Lysinibacillus sphaericus</i> CBAM5	Pb, Cr	Páez-Vélez et al <sup>106</sup>
<i>Paecilomyces</i> sp. K32	Cd	Pramanik et al <sup>107</sup>
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Cr	Mat Arisah et al <sup>108</sup>
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	Cd	Okpara-Elom et al <sup>109</sup>
<i>Rhodopseudomonas palustris</i>	Cr, Cd	Xiao et al <sup>110</sup>
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Hg, Pb	Selamat et al <sup>81</sup>


required to formulate advanced bioremediation techniques in engineering that can effectively eliminate the complex mixtures of pollutants found at various sites. Several microbes use the contaminants as an energy source through their metabolic processes. Bacteria and fungi in the environment help to degrade or detoxify harmful substances. Modern science relies on biotechnology to facilitate the development of genetically modified organisms (GMOs), which can be instrumental in comparing them with their wild-type variant. GMOs possess the necessary protein machinery, which they utilize to uptake and regulate heavy metals through the implementation of gene regulatory elements such as promoters, binders, and terminators. These organisms produce a heavy metal binding protein that protects from toxicity by strongly binding to heavy metals (Figure 4). *Mesorhizobium huakuii* strain B3, produces phytochelatins protein which accumulates Cd as reported by Sriprang et al<sup>117</sup>. Bae et al<sup>118</sup> reported that *P. putida* 06909 produced metal-binding peptide (MBP) EC-20 that has a high affinity for Cd. Al Hasin et al<sup>119</sup> found that *Methylococcus capsulatus* can remediate Cr (VI). Wagner-Döbler<sup>120</sup> demonstrated that recombinant bacteria allow detoxifying Hg<sup>2+</sup> to the non-toxic form of Hg<sup>0</sup> through mercury reductase and subsequent release of Hg. The mechanism for detoxification of heavy metals is controlled by the *mer* operon gene that regulates transcription levels at both positive and negative. *P. fluorescens* HK44 was applied for large-scale field-based remediation of pollutants.<sup>121</sup> Patel et al<sup>122</sup> reported that the recombinant *Caulobacter crescentus* strain JS4022/p723-6H was able to eliminate Cd.

**Figure 4.** Illustrating the process of heavy metal degradation using genetically modified organisms (GMOs) (Created with BioRender.com).

According to several researchers, certain microbes can remove heavy metals from their environment by either accumulating them or developing a tolerance toward them. There are several microorganisms, including *Acinetobacter* sp., *Alcaligenes odorans*, *Aspergillus niger* (fungus), *Aspergillus versicolor*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Corynebacterium propinquum*, *Fomitopsis pinicola*, *Microbacterium* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., *P. putida*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Ralstonia* sp., and *Streptomyces*, that play a role in removing heavy metals.<sup>123-126</sup>

### Effect of Heavy Metal on Human Health

Certain edible crops can accumulate heavy metals, even in very small amounts. When these heavy metals enter our food chain, they disrupt the food pyramid and pose a threat to human health by causing cancer and liver diseases. Vegetables such as



**Figure 5.** Illustrating the health implications of exposure to heavy metals on human well-being (Created with BioRender.com).

brinjal, gourd, spinach, coriander, tomato and pumpkin are particularly susceptible to heavy metal uptake by their roots, which can then be transported to the edible portions of the plant.<sup>127,128</sup> As a result, consuming these vegetables that contain heavy metals can be extremely hazardous to human health. Alexander et al<sup>129</sup> carried out research involving vegetables cultivated in soil contaminated with heavy metals. Significant variations were observed among the vegetables in terms of the levels of metal accumulation. For Cd, lettuce exhibited a higher accumulation (8.6 mg/kg dry matter) compared to spinach (5.8 mg/kg dry matter), onion (3.6 mg/kg dry matter), carrot (2.0 mg/kg dry matter), pea (0.29 mg/kg dry matter), and French bean (0.07 mg/kg dry matter). Remarkably, lettuce recorded the highest concentration of Pb, nearly double that of onions, which held the second-highest average value. The sequence was as follows: lettuce (14.6 mg/kg dry matter) > onion (7.5 mg/kg dry matter) > carrot (5.8 mg/kg dry matter) > spinach (1.8 mg/kg dry matter) > pea (0.78 mg/kg dry matter) > French bean (0.34 mg/kg dry matter). A study conducted by Zhu et al,<sup>63</sup> revealed that the concentration of heavy metals in the edible parts of vegetables varied, with leafy vegetables having the highest amounts, followed by stalk vegetables, root vegetables, and solanaceous vegetables, and then legume vegetables and melon vegetables. Previous reports have also suggested that edible crops grown in industrial areas such as coal mines and petrochemical plants tend to contain higher levels of heavy metals.<sup>130</sup> Human exposure to heavy metals primarily occurs through the consumption of edible crops, which accounts for 90% of the exposure. The remaining 10% is attributed to the inhalation of polluted air particles as reported by Khan et al.<sup>131</sup>

Excessive levels of heavy metals have the potential to pose harm to the body. They have the capacity to inflict damage on various organs such as the brain, muscles, nerves, liver, kidneys, and heart (Figure 5). Previous studies have specified that heavy metals can impair different organs within the human body, as illustrated in Table 6. The European Protection Agency (EPA) has reported that prolonged exposure to heavy metals can result

in severe cancer. Research conducted by the WHO has shown that higher exposure to heavy metals puts 10% of women at risk of infertility.<sup>132,133</sup>

Hg, a highly toxic metal found in air, water, and soil, is considered to be highly carcinogenic by the EPA. Hg exposure can result in various health problems, including Alzheimer's disease, lung damage, and skin issues such as the common ailment.<sup>151</sup> Acrodermatitis is a common skin ailment in which skin color becomes pink.<sup>152</sup> Similarly, Cd is a highly toxic metal that causes bone damage and acute exposure can lead to renal dysfunction, while prolonged exposure to high levels of Cd can result in lung damage. Heavy metals such as these can also induce DNA damage, cause chromosome aberrations, and alter DNA replication and transcription.<sup>153-155</sup> Exposure to Cr over a long period can result in the formation of ulcers. Human activities have resulted in the contamination of the environment with heavy metals, which can have adverse effects on human health. Excessive uptake of heavy metals poses a significant threat to human health. The entering of heavy metals into the human body can initiate cancer by the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which mainly disrupts DNA molecules. Heavy metals can cause damage to specific organs within the human body. In an animal model of acute toxicity, Wistar rats exposed to 1 mg/kg of Hg caused alterations in their kidneys. A study reported that oral exposure to Hg in rats resulted in diarrhea. Additionally, scientists found that guinea pigs exposed to 0.1 to 0.4 M of Pb increased serum endothelial and serum total protein levels, along with lung infection.<sup>156</sup> Male adult rats exposed continuously to Pb (0.4%) exhibited a significant reduction in white blood cell count, as reported by Mugahi et al.<sup>157</sup> Furthermore, the administration of Pb (10 mg/kg) was observed to increase the levels of lactate dehydrogenase and acid phosphatase in rats.<sup>158</sup> In rats, Patlolla et al<sup>159</sup> demonstrated that the administration of 10 mg/kg of Cr increased the levels of ROS and malondialdehyde in the liver and kidney. High doses of Cr(VI) caused the immune system to reduce, resulting in the development of allergic contact dermatitis.<sup>160,161</sup> Fay et al<sup>162</sup> investigated Cd toxicity (0.6 mg/kg for 12 weeks) in the renal cortex of rats and found that Cd exposure significantly increased the volume of urine while decreasing the excretion of protein in urine. Cd toxicity can cause osteoporosis and bone fracture by increasing the dynamin-related protein, as demonstrated by Ma et al.<sup>163</sup> A close relationship between osteoporosis and high intake of Cd was also proven by Pouillot et al.<sup>164</sup>

## Conclusion

Recent technological advances have made bioremediation a more effective tool. This method is distinct and effective because it does not rely on chemicals or complex machinery. In the current study, bioremediation was shown to be a potential technique for resolving or reducing the negative effects of environmental contamination. Since it uses living entities to

**Table 6.** Effects of heavy metal contamination on human well-being.

HEAVY METALS	TOXIC EFFECT	REFERENCE
Hg, Cd, Pb, Cr	Neuro degenerative illness	Lee et al <sup>134</sup> and Wise et al <sup>135</sup>
Hg, Cd, Pb, As	Eye yellowing	Park <sup>136</sup>
Hg, Cd, Pb, As	Decreasing thyroid hormone production	Chen et al <sup>137</sup>
Hg, Cd, Pb, As	Cardiac arrest	Yang et al <sup>138</sup>
Hg, Cd, Pb, As, Cr	Cardiovascular hemolysis	Capitão et al <sup>139</sup> and Wilbur et al <sup>140</sup>
Cd	Pulmonary fibrosis	Hu et al <sup>141</sup>
Hg, Cd, Pb, As	Liver Cirrhosis	Kim et al <sup>142</sup>
Hg, Cd, Pb, As	Peripheral vessel disease	Patwa and Flora <sup>143</sup>
Hg, Cd, Pb, As, Cr	Skin yellowing	Balali-Mood et al <sup>144</sup> , Bissett et al <sup>145</sup> , Shimo et al <sup>146</sup>
Hg, Cd, Pb, As	Intestinal diarrhea	Balali-Mood et al <sup>144</sup> and Chen et al <sup>147</sup>
Cd, Pb, As	Infertility	Lei et al <sup>148</sup> and Lin et al <sup>149</sup>
Cd	Osteoporosis	Järup et al <sup>150</sup>

manage pollution, it cannot worsen the problem of heavy metal buildup or ozone depletion and is thought to be both environmentally friendly and economically effective, making it applicable to both emerging and developed nations globally. The results of the toxicity evaluation indicated that heavy metals constitute a substantial threat to living entities that are not specifically targeted. Therefore, funding ongoing research and innovation in bioremediation technologies is crucial for solving the 21st century's expanding environmental issues.

### Acknowledgements

Department of Biotechnology, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan, India, and the University of Limpopo's Department of Plant Production, Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, Green Biotechnologies Research Centre of Excellence, Private Bag X1106, Sovenga, 0727, South Africa, are gratefully acknowledged by the authors. Authors are sincerely acknowledged Tshepo S. Mashela, the University of Limpopo's Department of Plant Production, Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, Green Biotechnologies Research Centre of Excellence, Private Bag X1106, Sovenga, 0727, South Africa, for his invaluable assistance in manuscript preparation.

### CRedit Authorship Contribution Statement

**SD:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing- Original draft, **KWS, MM:** Visualization, **ARN:** Writing- review and editing, **IC:** Supervision.

### Data Availability

The manuscript contains all the necessary data to support the findings of this study.

### Ethical Approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

### REFERENCES

- Lucas RE. *The industrial revolution: Past and future. Lectures on economic growth.* Harvard University Press; 2002;109:188.
- Lucas RE. *Lectures on Economic Growth.* Harvard University Press; 2002.
- Oonk G. Industrialisation in India, 1850-1947: three variations in the emergence of indigenous industrialists. 2004.
- Carson R. *Silent Spring.* Getty Publications Los; 2009:1962.
- Paull J. The Rachel Carson letters and the making of Silent Spring. *Sage Open.* 2013;3:2158244013494861.
- Al-Sulaiti MM, Soubra L, Al-Ghouthi MA. The causes and effects of mercury and methylmercury contamination in the marine environment: a review. *Curr Pollut Rep.* 2022;8:249-272.
- Allen RC. The industrial revolution in miniature: the spinning jenny in Britain, France, and India. *J Econ Hist.* 2009;69:901-927.
- Lele U, Goswami S. The fourth industrial revolution, agricultural and rural innovation, and implications for public policy and investments: a case of India. *Agric Econ.* 2017;48:87-100.
- Ward JR. The industrial revolution and British imperialism, 1750-1850. *Econ Hist Rev.* 1994;47:44-65.
- Fei X, Lou Z, Xiao R, Ren Z, Lv X. Source analysis and source-oriented risk assessment of heavy metal pollution in agricultural soils of different cultivated land qualities. *J Clean Prod.* 2022;341:130942.
- Hama Aziz KH, Mustafa FS, Omer KM, Hama S, Hamarawf RF, Rahman KO. Heavy metal pollution in the aquatic environment: efficient and low-cost removal approaches to eliminate their toxicity: a review. *RSC Adv.* 2023;13:17595-17610.
- Kamaruzaman NA, Jaafar MH, Mohideen M, Fatinathan S. *Effects of treated and untreated sludge applications on human health, the environment and other ecological factors.* In Makhtar MMZ, Shukor H, Yaser AZ (Eds.). *Microbial Fuel Cell (MFC) Applications for Sludge Valorization.* Springer; 2023;23-42.
- Jaishankar M, Tseten T, Anbalagan N, Mathew BB, Beeregowda KN. Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. *Interdiscip Toxicol.* 2014;7:60-72.
- Ankit S, Kishor V, Baudh K. Impacts of synthetic pesticides on soil health and non-targeted flora and fauna. In: Baudh K, Kumar S, Singh RP & Korstad J (Eds.). *Ecological and Practical Applications for Sustainable Agriculture.* Springer Singapore, 2020;65-88.

15. Pichtel J. Oil and gas production wastewater: soil contamination and pollution prevention. *Appl Environ Soil Sci.* 2016;2016:1-24.
16. Pourret O, Bollinger JC, Hursthouse A. Heavy metal: a misused term? *Acta Geochim.* 2021;40:466-471.
17. Suman J, Uhlak O, Viktorova J, Macek T. Phytoextraction of heavy metals: a promising tool for clean-up of polluted environment? *Front Plant Sci.* 2018;9:1476.
18. Di D, Tooki T, Zhou H, et al. Metal mixture and osteoporosis risk: insights from plasma metabolite profiling. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2023;263:115256.
19. Bala S, Garg D, Thirumalesh BV, et al. Recent strategies for bioremediation of emerging pollutants: a review for a green and sustainable environment. *Toxicol.* 2022;10:484.
20. Liu X, He L, Zhang X, et al. Bioremediation of petroleum-contaminated saline soil by *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Talaromyces* sp. and functional potential analysis using metagenomic sequencing. *Environ Pollut.* 2022;311:119970.
21. Cervantes PAM, Ziarati P, de Frutos Madrazo P. *Bioremediation Encyclopedia of Sustainable Management.* Springer; 2023:1-8.
22. Ganesh Kumar A, Manisha D, Nivedha Rajan N, et al. Biodegradation of phenanthrene by piezotolerant *Bacillus subtilis* EB1 and genomic insights for bioremediation. *Mar Pollut Bull.* 2023;194:115151.
23. Toribio JA, Marrodán T, Fernández-Natal I. Orbital implant infection by *Corynebacterium amycolatum*. *Orbit.* 2017;36:344-346.
24. Onder Erguven G, Tatar Serdar O, Yildirim NC. Evaluation of the efficiency of chlorpyrifos-ethyl remediation by *Methylobacterium radiotolerans* and *Microbacterium arthrophlaerae* using response of some biochemical biomarkers. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* 2021;28:2871-2879.
25. Maity JP, Chandra Samal A, Rajnish K, et al. Furfural removal from water by bioremediation process by indigenous *Pseudomonas putida* (OSBH3) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (OSBH4) using novel suphala media: an optimization for field application. *Groundwater Sustain Dev.* 2023;20:100895.
26. Zhao Z, Oury BM, Xia L, et al. The ecological response and distribution characteristics of microorganisms and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in a retired coal gas plant post-thermal remediation site. *Sci Total Environ.* 2023;857:159314.
27. Sonawane JM, Rai AK, Sharma M, Tripathi M, Prasad RRam. Microbial biofilms: Recent advances and progress in environmental bioremediation. *Sci Total Environ.* 2022;824:153843.
28. Mir AR, Alam P, Hayat S. Perspective of melatonin-mediated stress resilience and Cu remediation efficiency of *Brassica juncea* in Cu-contaminated soils. *Front Plant Sci.* 2022;13:910714.
29. Panwar R, Mathur J. Comparative analysis of remediation efficiency and ultra-structural translocation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in *Medicago sativa*, *Helianthus annuus*, and *Tagetes erecta*. *Int J Phytoremediation.* 2023;18:1-19.
30. Yan Y, Yang J, Wan X, et al. Temporal and spatial differentiation characteristics of soil arsenic during the remediation process of *Pteris vittata* L. and *Citrus reticulata* Blanco intercropping. *Sci Total Environ.* 2022;812:152475.
31. Nandillon R, Lebrun M, Miard F, et al. Co-culture of *Salix viminalis* and *Trifolium repens* for the phytostabilisation of Pb and as in mine tailings amended with hardwood biochar. *Environ Geochem Health.* 2022;44:1229-1244.
32. Teng Y, Guan W, Yu A, et al. Exogenous melatonin improves cadmium tolerance in *Solanum nigrum* L. without affecting its remediation potential. *Int J Phytoremediation.* 2022;24:1284-1291.
33. UNEP/GPA. *The State of the Marine Environment: Trends and Processes.* UNEP/GPA; 2006.
34. Tchounwou PB, Yedjou CG, Patlolla AK, Sutton DJ. Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. In: Luch A (Ed.). *Molecular, Clinical and Environmental Toxicology.* Experientia Supplementum. Springer, Basel. 2012;101:133-164.
35. Bradl H. *Sources and Origins of Heavy Metals Interface Science and Technology.* Elsevier; 2005;6:1-27.
36. Mukherjee AB, Zevenhoven R, Bhattacharya P, Sajwan KS, Kikuchi R. Mercury flow via coal and coal utilization by-products: a global perspective. *Resour Conserv Recycl.* 2008;52:571-591.
37. Velusamy S, Roy A, Sundaram S, Kumar Mallick T. A review on heavy metal ions and containing dyes removal through graphene oxide-based adsorption strategies for textile wastewater treatment. *Chem Rec.* 2021;21:1570-1610.
38. Rees N, Fuller R. *The Toxic Truth: Children's Exposure to Lead Pollution Undermines a Generation of Future Potential.* UNICEF; 2020.
39. Choppala G, Bolan N, Park JH. Chromium contamination and its risk management in complex environmental settings. *Adv Agron.* 2013;120:129-172.
40. Jiang W, Meng L, Liu F, et al. Distribution, source investigation, and risk assessment of topsoil heavy metals in areas with intensive anthropogenic activities using the positive matrix factorization (PMF) model coupled with self-organizing map (SOM). *Environ Geochem Health.* 2023;45:6353-6370.
41. Baritz R, Amelung W, et al. *Soil Monitoring in Europe – Indicators and Thresholds for Soil Health Assessments.* EEA Reports. Publications Office of the European Union; 8:2023.
42. European Union. Heavy Metals in Wastes, European commission on environment. European Commission DG ENV. E3. 2002.
43. WHO. *Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality.* World Health Organization; 1:2004.
44. Kesson MT, Point CC, di Caracalla VDT. *Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme Codex Committee on Contaminants in Foods.* World Health Organization; 2015.
45. Sharma A, Katnoria JK, Nagpal AK. Heavy metals in vegetables: screening health risks involved in cultivation along wastewater drain and irrigating with wastewater. *Springerplus.* 2016;5:488-516.
46. Wu Y. General standard for contaminants and toxins in food and feed. *Codex stan.* 2014;193-1995.
47. Li C, Zhou K, Qin W, et al. A review on heavy metals contamination in soil: effects, sources, and remediation techniques. *Soil Sediment Contam.* 2019;28:380-394.
48. Qasem NAA, Mohammed RH, Lawal DU. Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater: a comprehensive and critical review. *NPJ Clean.* 2021;4:1-15.
49. Liu Z, Tran K-Q. A review on disposal and utilization of phytoremediation plants containing heavy metals. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2021;226:112821.
50. Sharma P. Efficiency of bacteria and bacterial assisted phytoremediation of heavy metals: an update. *Bioresour Technol.* 2021;328:124835.
51. Nedjimi B. Phytoremediation: a sustainable environmental technology for heavy metals decontamination. *Appl Sci.* 2021;3:1-19.
52. Huang D, Xiao R, Du L, et al. Phytoremediation of poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances: a review on aquatic plants, influencing factors, and phytotoxicity. *J Hazard Mater.* 2021;418:126314.
53. Fasani E, DalCorso G, Zorzi G, Vitulo N, Furini A. Comparative analysis identifies micro-RNA associated with nutrient homeostasis, development and stress response in *Arabidopsis thaliana* upon high Zn and metal hyperaccumulator *Arabidopsis halleri*. *Physiol Plant.* 2021;173:920-934.
54. Das M, Bramhanand PS, Laxminarayana K. Performance and efficiency services for the removal of hexavalent chromium from water by common macrophytes. *Int J Phytoremediation.* 2021;23:1095-1103.
55. Kumar S, Thakur N, Singh AK, Gudade BA, Ghimire D, Das S. *Aquatic macrophytes for environmental pollution control phytoremediation technology for the Removal of Heavy Metals and Other Contaminants From Soil and Water.* Elsevier; 2022:291-308.
56. Li J, Zheng B, Chen X, et al. The use of constructed wetland for mitigating nitrogen and phosphorus from agricultural runoff: a review. *Water.* 2021;13:476.
57. Prasad MNV. *Prospects for manipulation of molecular mechanisms and transgenic approaches in aquatic macrophytes for remediation of toxic metals and metalloids in wastewaters. Transgenic Plant Technology for Remediation of Toxic Metals and Metalloids.* Elsevier; 2019:395-428.
58. Tripathy PK, Mohapatra M, Pattnaik R, Tarafdar L, Panda S, Rastogi G. *Macrophyte Diversity and Distribution in Brackish Coastal Lagoons: A Field Survey From Chilika, Odisha Coastal Ecosystems.* Springer; 2022:325-358.
59. Grignet A, Sahrarou AL-H, Teillaud S, Fontaine J, Papin A, Bert V. Phytoextraction of Zn and Cd with *Arabidopsis halleri*: A focus on fertilization and biological amendment as a means of increasing biomass and Cd and Zn concentrations. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* 2022;29:22675-22686.
60. Rathika R, Srinivasan P, Alkahtani J, et al. Influence of biochar and EDTA on enhanced phytoremediation of lead contaminated soil by *Brassica juncea*. *Chemosphere.* 2021;271:129513.
61. Zhang Y, Liu G, Gao S, Zhang Z, Huang L. Effect of humic acid on phytoremediation of heavy metal contaminated sediment. *J Hazard Mater Adv.* 2023;9:100235.
62. Yang Y, Ge Y, Tu P, et al. Phytoextraction of Cd from a contaminated soil by tobacco and safe use of its metal-enriched biomass. *J Hazard Mater.* 2019;363:385-393.
63. Zhu G, Liu W, Wen Y, Liao X, Sun L. Potential of arsenate-reducing bacterial inoculants to enhance field-scale remediation of arsenic contaminated soils by *Pteris vittata* L. *Ecol Eng.* 2021;169:106312.
64. Yang W, Yang Y, Ding Z, Yang X, Zhao F, Zhu Z. Uptake and accumulation of cadmium in flooded versus non-flooded *Salix* genotypes: implications for phytoremediation. *Ecol Eng.* 2019;136:79-88.
65. Valenti M, Gonzalez M, Ruscitti M. Germination and in vitro regeneration of *Sesbania punicea* and *Sesbania virgata*: environmentally important plants. *Physiol Rep.* 2021;26:754-761.
66. He X, Zhang J, Ren Y, et al. Polyaspartate and liquid amino acid fertilizer are appropriate alternatives for promoting the phytoextraction of cadmium and lead in *Solanum nigrum* L. *Chemosphere.* 2019;237:124483.
67. Li X, Zhang X, Wang X, Cui Z. Phytoremediation of multi-metal contaminated mine tailings with *Solanum nigrum* L. and biochar/attapulgite amendments. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2019;180:517-525.
68. Narayanan M, Ranganathan M, Kandasamy G, Kumarasamy S. Evaluation of interaction among indigenous rhizobacteria and *Vigna unguiculata* on remediation of metal-containing abandoned magnesite mine tailing. *Arch Microbiol.* 2021;203:1399-1410.



69. Huang X, Luo D, Chen X, et al. Insights into heavy metals leakage in chelator-induced phytoextraction of Pb- and Tl-contaminated soil. *Int J Env Res Pub.* 2019;16:1328.
70. Sebastian A, Deepa P, Prasad MNV. Azolla farming for sustainable environmental remediation. In: Prasad MNV (Ed.) *Handbook of Assisted and Amendment: Enhanced Sustainable Remediation Technology.* John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 2021;517-533.
71. Kaur S, Midha T, Verma H, et al. *Bioremediation: A Favorable Perspective to Eliminate Heavy Metals From Polluted Soil Metagenomics to Bioremediation.* Elsevier; 2023:209-230.
72. Shukla A, Srivastava S, D'Souza SF. An integrative approach toward biosensing and bioremediation of metals and metalloids. *Int J Environ Sci.* 2018;15:2701-2712.
73. Rai PK. Heavy metals/metalloids remediation from wastewater using free floating macrophytes of a natural wetland. *Environ Technol Innov.* 2019;15:100393.
74. Stefanidis K, Sarika M, Papastegiadou E. Exploring environmental predictors of aquatic macrophytes in water-dependent Natura 2000 sites of high conservation value: results from a long-term study of macrophytes in Greek lakes. *Aquat Conserv Mar Freshw Ecosyst.* 2019;29:1133-1148.
75. Nabuyanda MM, van Bruggen J, Kelderman P, Irvine K. Investigating Co, Cu, and Pb retention and remobilization after drying and rewetting treatments in greenhouse laboratory-scale constructed treatments with and without *Typha angustifolia*, and connected phytoextraction potential. *J Environ Manag.* 2019;236:510-518.
76. Naqqash T, Aziz A, Babar M, et al. Lead-resistant *Morganella morganii* Rhizobacteria reduced lead toxicity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by improving growth, physiology, and antioxidant activities. *Agriculture.* 2022;12:1155.
77. Raj D, Kumar A, Maiti SK. Mercury remediation potential of *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. For clean-up of flyash contaminated sites. *Chemosphere.* 2020;248:125857.
78. Samreen S, Khan AA, Khan MR, Ansari SA, Khan A. Assessment of phytoextraction potential of seven weed plants growing in chromium- and nickel-contaminated soil. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 2021;232:1-18.
79. Mahmood-Ul-Hassan M, Yousra M, Saman L, Ahmad R. Floriculture: alternate non-edible plants for phyto-remediation of heavy metal contaminated soils. *Int J Phytoremediation.* 2020;22:725-732.
80. Sangsuwan P, Prapagdee B. Cadmium phytoextraction performance of two species of chlorophytum and enhancing their potentials by cadmium-resistant bacteria. *Environ Technol Innov.* 2021;21:101311.
81. Selamat SN, Halmi MIEB, Abdullah SRS, Idris M, Hasan HA, Anuar N. Optimization of lead (Pb) bioaccumulation in *Melastoma malabathricum* L. by response surface methodology (RSM). *Rend Lincei Sci Fis Nat.* 2018;29:43-51.
82. Wei S, Xu L, Dai H, Hu Y. Ornamental hyperaccumulator *Mirabilis jalapa* L. Phytoextraction combine contaminated soil enhanced by some chelators and surfactants. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* 2018;25:29699-29704.
83. Hazarika A, Saikia S, Devi B, Yadav M, Yadav HS. *Oxidoreductase Metalloenzymes as Green Catalyst for Phytoextraction of Environmental Pollutants Phytoextraction Technology for the Removal of Heavy Metals and Other Contaminants From Soil and Water.* Elsevier; 2022:141-172.
84. Subašić M, Šamec D, Selović A, Karalija E. Phytoextraction of cadmium polluted soils: current status and approaches for enhancing. *Soil Syst.* 2022;6:3.
85. Vega A, Delgado N, Handford M. Increasing heavy metal tolerance by the exogenous application of organic acids. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2022;23:5438.
86. Kumar V, Shah MP, Shahi SK (Eds.) *Phytoextraction Technology for the Removal of Heavy Metals and Other Contaminants From Soil and Water.* Elsevier; 2022:141.
87. Muthusamy L, Rajendran M, Ramamoorthy K, Narayanan M, Kandasamy S. *Phytostabilization of Metal Mine Tailings—A Green Remediation Technology Phytoextraction Technology for the Removal of Heavy Metals and Other Contaminants From Soil and Water.* Elsevier; 2022:243-253.
88. Hegedus C, Paşcalău S-N, Andronic L, Rotaru A-S, Cucu A-A, Dezmirean DS. The journey of 1000 leagues towards the decontamination of the soil from heavy metals and the impact on the soil-plant-animal-human chain begins with the first step: phytostabilization/phytoextraction. *Agriculture.* 2023;13:735.
89. Asgari Lajayer B, Khadem Moghadam N, Maghsoodi MR, Ghorbanpour M, Kariman K. Phytoextraction of heavy metals from contaminated soil, water and atmosphere using ornamental plants: mechanisms and efficiency improvement strategies. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* 2019;26:8468-8484.
90. Gul I, Manzoor M, Hashim N, et al. Challenges in microbially and chelate-assisted phytoextraction of cadmium and lead – a review. *Environ Pollut.* 2021;287:117667.
91. Mohan I, Gorla K, Dhar S, Kothari R, Bhau B, Pathania D. Phytoextraction of heavy metals from the biosphere perspective and solutions. *Pollutants and Water Management: Resources, Strategies and Scarcity.* 2021;16:95-127.
92. Li X, Zhu W, Meng G, Guo R, Wang Y. Phytoextraction of alkaline soils co-contaminated with cadmium and tetracycline antibiotics using the ornamental hyperaccumulator *Mirabilis jalapa* L. and *Tagetes patula* L. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* 2020;27:14175-14183.
93. Poursmaeili M, Ataei M, Forouzandeh P, Azizollahi P, Mahmoudifard M. Recent progress on sustainable phytoextraction of heavy metals from soil. *J Environ Chem Eng.* 2022;10:108482.
94. Nebeská D, Trögl J, Ševců A, et al. *Miscanthus x giganteus* role in phytodegradation and changes in bacterial community of soil contaminated by petroleum industry. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2021;224:112630.
95. Yaashikaa PR, Kumar PS, Jeevanantham S, Saravanan R. A review on bioremediation approach for heavy metal detoxification and accumulation in plants. *Environ Pollut.* 2022;301:119035.
96. Iwamoto T, Nasu M. Current bioremediation practice and perspective. *J Biosci Bioeng.* 2001;92:1-8.
97. Pete AJ, Bharti B, Benton MG. Nano-enhanced bioremediation for oil spills: a review. *ACS ES & T Eng.* 2021;1:928-946.
98. Marwa N, Singh N, Srivastava S, Saxena G, Pandey V, Singh N. Characterizing the hypertolerance potential of two indigenous bacterial strains (*Bacillus flexus* and *Acinetobacter junii*) and their efficacy in arsenic bioremediation. *J Appl Microbiol.* 2019;126:1117-1127.
99. Geng N, Xia Y, Lu D, et al. The bacterial community structure in epiphytic biofilm on submerged macrophyte *Potamogeton crispus* L. and its contribution to heavy metal accumulation in an urban industrial area in Hangzhou. *J Hazard Mater.* 2022;430:128455.
100. Shukla SK, Paraneiswaran A, Subba Rao T. Biosorption of Co-EDTA complex by *Aspergillus versicolor* SPF-1 strain isolated from solar salt pan. *J Environ Chem Eng.* 2020;8:103549.
101. Bhattacharya A, Gola D, Dey P, Malik A. Synergistic and antagonistic effects on metal bioremediation with increasing metal complexity in a hexa-metal environment by *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Int J Environ Health Res.* 2020;14:761-770.
102. Li Q, Xing Y, Fu X, et al. Biochemical mechanisms of rhizospheric *Bacillus subtilis*-facilitated phytoextraction by alfalfa under cadmium stress - microbial diversity and metabolomics analyses. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2021;212:112016.
103. Vácar CL, Covaci E, Chakraborty S, et al. Heavy metal-resistant filamentous fungi as potential mercury bioremediators. *J Fungi.* 2021;7:386.
104. Malik S, Kishore S, Shah MP, Kumar SA. A comprehensive review on nanobio-technology for bioremediation of heavy metals from wastewater. *J Basic Microbiol.* 2022;62:361-375.
105. Saini S, Gill JK, Kaur J, et al. Biosorption as environmentally friendly technique for heavy metal removal from wastewater. In: Qadri H, Bhat RA, Mehmood MA, Dar GH (Eds.) *Fresh Water Pollution Dynamics and Remediation.* Springer; 2020;167-181.
106. Páez-Vélez C, Rivas RE, Dussán J. Enhanced gold biosorption of *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* CBAM5 by encapsulation of bacteria in an alginate matrix. *Metals.* 2019;9:818.
107. Pramanik K, Mandal S, Banerjee S, Ghosh A, Maiti TK, Mandal NC. Unraveling the heavy metal resistance and biocontrol potential of *Pseudomonas* sp. K32 strain facilitating rice seedling growth under Cd stress. *Chemosphere.* 2021;274:129819.
108. Mat Arisaf F, Amir AF, Ramli N, et al. Bacterial resistance against heavy metals in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* RW9 involving hexavalent chromium removal. *Sustainability.* 2021;13:9797.
109. Okpara-Elom IA, Onochie CC, Elom MO, Ezaka E, Elom O. Bioremediation of heavy metal polluted soil using plant growth promoting bacteria: an assessment of response. *Bioremediat J.* 2022;2:1-20.
110. Xiao X, Zhu Y, Gao Y, Fu J, Zhao Y, Zhao L. Inoculation of paddy soils with *Rhodospirillum rubrum* enhanced heavy metal immobilisation. *Plant Soil Environ.* 2021;67:55-60.
111. Kumar V, Dwivedi SK. Mycoremediation of heavy metals: processes, mechanisms, and affecting factors. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* 2021;28:10375-10412.
112. Shourie A, Vijayalakshmi U. Fungal diversity and its role in mycoremediation. *Geomicrobiol J.* 2022;39:426-444.
113. Jebapriya GR, Gnanadoss JJ. Bioremediation of textile dye using white rot fungi: a review. *Int J Curr Res Rev.* 2013;5:1.
114. Singh P, Raghukumar C, Parvatkar RR, Mascarenhas-Pereira MB. Heavy metal tolerance in the psychrotolerant *Cryptococcus* sp. isolated from deep-sea sediments of the Central Indian Basin. *Yeast.* 2013;30:93-101.
115. Irshad S, Xie Z, Mehmood S, Nawaz A, Ditta A, Mahmood Q. Insights into conventional and recent technologies for arsenic bioremediation: a systematic review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* 2021;28:18870-18892.
116. Tonelli FMP, Lemos MS, Tonelli FCP. *Genetically Modified Organisms as tools for water bioremediation freshwater pollution and aquatic ecosystems.* Apple Academic Press; 2021:301-320.
117. Sriprang R, Hayashi M, Ono H, Takagi M, Hirata K, Murooka Y. Enhanced accumulation of Cd<sup>2+</sup> by a *Mesorhizobium* sp. transformed with a gene from *Arabidopsis thaliana* coding for phytochelatin synthase. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2003;69:1791-1796.
118. Bae W, Wu CH, Kostal J, Mulchandani A, Chen W. Enhanced mercury biosorption by bacterial cells with surface-displayed MerR. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2003;69:3176-3180.

119. Al Hasin A, Gurman SJ, Murphy LM, Perry A, Smith TJ, Gardiner PHE. Remediation of chromium(VI) by a methane-oxidizing bacterium. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2010;44:400-405.
120. Wagner-Döbler I. Pilot plant for bioremediation of mercury-containing industrial wastewater. *Appl Microbiol Biot.* 2003;62:124-133.
121. Ripp S, Nivens DE, Ahn Y, et al. Controlled field release of a bioluminescent genetically engineered microorganism for bioremediation process monitoring and control. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2000;34:846-853.
122. Patel J, Zhang Q, McKay RML, Vincent R, Xu Z. Genetic engineering of *Caulobacter crescentus* for removal of cadmium from water. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol.* 2010;160:232-243.
123. Abdulsalam S, Adefila S, Bugaje I, Ibrahim S. Bioremediation of soil contaminated with used motor oil in a closed system. *J Bioremediat Biodegrad.* 2012;03:1-7.
124. Bahadure S, Kalia R, Chavan R. Comparative study of bioremediation of hydrocarbon fuels. *Int J Biotechnol Bioeng Res.* 2013;4:677-686.
125. Irankhah S, Abdi Ali A, Mallavarapu M, et al. Ecological role of *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* GSN3 in natural biofilm formation and its advantages in bioremediation. *Biofouling.* 2019;35:377-391.
126. Wasi S, Tabrez S, Ahmad M. Use of *Pseudomonas* spp. for the bioremediation of environmental pollutants: a review. *Environ Monit Assess.* 2013;185:8147-8155.
127. Gupta N, Yadav KK, Kumar V, et al. Investigation of heavy metal accumulation in vegetables and health risk to humans from their consumption. *Front Environ Sci.* 2022;10:40.
128. Minhas PS, Saha JK, Dotaniya ML, Sarkar A, Saha M. Wastewater irrigation in India: current status, impacts and response options. *Sci Total Environ.* 2022;808:152001.
129. Alexander PD, Alloway BJ, Dourado AM. Genotypic variations in the accumulation of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn exhibited by six commonly grown vegetables. *Environ Pollut.* 2006;144:736-745.
130. Haque MM, Niloy NM, Khirul MA, Alam MF, Tareq SM. Appraisal of probabilistic human health risks of heavy metals in vegetables from industrial, non-industrial and arsenic contaminated areas of Bangladesh. *Heliyon.* 2021;7:e06309.
131. Khan A, Khan S, Khan MA, Qamar Z, Waqas M. The uptake and bioaccumulation of heavy metals by food plants, their effects on plants nutrients, and associated health risk: a review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* 2015;22:13772-13799.
132. Abudawood M, Tabassum H, Alanazi AH, et al. Antioxidant status in relation to heavy metals induced oxidative stress in patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS). *Sci Rep.* 2021;11:1-8.
133. Bhardwaj JK, Paliwal A, Saraf P. Effects of heavy metals on reproduction owing to infertility. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol.* 2021;35:e22823.
134. Lee HJ, Park MK, Seo YR. Pathogenic mechanisms of heavy metal induced-Alzheimer's disease. *Toxicol Environ Health Sci.* 2018;10:1-10.
135. Wise JP Jr, Young JL, Cai J, Cai L. Current understanding of hexavalent chromium [Cr(VI)] neurotoxicity and new perspectives. *Environ Int.* 2022;158:106877.
136. Park J-D. Heavy metal poisoning. *Hanyang Med Rev.* 2010;30:319-325.
137. Chen A, Kim SS, Chung E, Dietrich KN. Thyroid hormones in relation to lead, mercury, and cadmium exposure in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2007-2008. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2013;121:181-186.
138. Yang A-M, Lo K, Zheng T-Z, et al. Environmental heavy metals and cardiovascular diseases: status and future direction. *Chronic Dis Transl Med.* 2020;6:251-259.
139. Capitão C, Martins R, Santos O, et al. Exposure to heavy metals and red blood cell parameters in children: a systematic review of observational studies. *Front Pediatr.* 2022;10:921239.
140. Wilbur S, Abadin H, Fay M, Yu D, Tencza B, Ingerman L, Klotzbach J, James S. Toxicological profile for chromium. Atlanta (GA): Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (US); 2012.
141. Hu X, Fernandes J, Jones DP, Go YM. Cadmium stimulates myofibroblast differentiation and mouse lung fibrosis. *Toxicology.* 2017;383:50-56.
142. Kim D-W, Ock J, Moon K-W, Park C-H. Association between Pb, Cd, and Hg exposure and liver injury among Korean adults. *Int J Env Res Pub.* 2021;18:6783.
143. Patwa J, Flora SJS. Heavy metal-induced cerebral small vessel disease: insights into molecular mechanisms and possible reversal strategies. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21:3862.
144. Balali-Mood M, Naseri K, Tahergerabi Z, Khazdair MR, Sadeghi M. Toxic mechanisms of five heavy metals: mercury, lead, chromium, cadmium, and arsenic. *Front Pharmacol.* 2021;12:643972.
145. Bissett DL, Miyamoto K, Sun P, Li J, Berge CA. Topical niacinamide reduces yellowing, wrinkling, red blotchiness, and hyperpigmented spots in aging facial skin1. *Int J Cosmet Sci.* 2004;26:231-238.
146. Shimo NA, Salam MA, Parvin M, Sultan MZ. Assessment of selected metals (chromium, lead and cadmium) in the hair of tannery workers at Hemayetpur, Bangladesh. *J Elem Miner.* 2023;4:100056.
147. Chen X, Wang S, Mao X, et al. Adverse health effects of emerging contaminants on inflammatory bowel disease. *Front Public Health.* 2023;11:1140786.
148. Lei HL, Wei HJ, Ho HY, Liao KW, Chien LC. Relationship between risk factors for infertility in women and lead, cadmium, and arsenic blood levels: a cross-sectional study from Taiwan. *BMC Public Health.* 2015;15:1220-1311.
149. Lin J, Lin X, Qiu J, You X, Xu J. Association between heavy metals exposure and infertility among American women aged 20-44 years: a cross-sectional analysis from 2013 to 2018 NHANES data. *Front Public Health.* 2023;11:1122183.
150. Järup L, Alfvén T, Persson B, Toss G, Elinder CG. Cadmium may be a risk factor for osteoporosis. *Occup Environ Med.* 1998;55:435-439.
151. Harischandra DS, Ghaisas S, Zenitsky G, et al. Manganese-induced neurotoxicity: new insights into the triad of protein misfolding, mitochondrial impairment, and neuroinflammation. *Front Neurosci.* 2019;13:654.
152. Shekhawat R, Meshram V. *Acrodyntia*. StatPearls Publishing; 2021.
153. Coetzee JJ, Bansal N, Chirwa EMN. Chromium in environment, its toxic effect from chromite-mining and ferrochrome industries, and its possible bioremediation. *Expo Health.* 2020;12:51-62.
154. Kim HS, Kim YJ, Seo YR. An overview of carcinogenic heavy metal: molecular toxicity mechanism and prevention. *J Cancer Prev.* 2015;20:232-240.
155. Kothapalli CR. Differential impact of heavy metals on neurotoxicity during development and in aging central nervous system. *Curr Opin Toxicol.* 2021;26:33-38.
156. Farkhondeh T, Boskabady MH, Kohi MK, Sadeghi-Hashjin G, Moin M. Lead exposure affects inflammatory mediators, total and differential white blood cells in sensitized guinea pigs during and after sensitization. *Drug Chem Toxicol.* 2014;37:329-335.
157. Mugahi MN, Heidari Z, Sagheb HM, Barbarestani M. Effects of chronic lead acetate intoxication on blood indices of male adult rat. *DARU J Pharm Sci.* 2003;11:147-151.
158. Abirami N, Raju VS, Rajathi K. Effect of *Semecarpus anacardium* against lead induced toxicity in rats. *Anc Sci Life.* 2007;27:24-27.
159. Patlolla AK, Barnes C, Yedjou C, Velma VR, Tchounwou PB. Oxidative stress, DNA damage, and antioxidant enzyme activity induced by hexavalent chromium in Sprague-Dawley rats. *Environ Toxicol.* 2009;24:66-73.
160. Ahrensboell-Friis U, Simonsen AB, Dahlin J, Isaksson M, Zachariae C, Johansen JD. Allergic contact dermatitis from dyes used in the temple of spectacles. *Contact Derm.* 2022;86:25-28.
161. Bruynzeel DP, Hennipman G, van Ketel WG. Irritant contact dermatitis and chrome-passivated metal. *Contact Derm.* 1988;19:175-179.
162. Fay M, Alt L, Ryba D, et al. Cadmium nephrotoxicity is associated with altered microRNA expression in the rat renal cortex. *Toxics.* 2018;6:16.
163. Ma Y, Ran D, Shi X, Zhao H, Liu Z. Cadmium toxicity: a role in bone cell function and teeth development. *Sci Total Environ.* 2021;769:144646.
164. Pouillot R, Santillana Farakos S, Van Doren JM. Modeling the risk of low bone mass and osteoporosis as a function of urinary cadmium in U.S adults aged 50-79 years. *Environ Res.* 2022;212:113315.